

Hardy-Ramanujan Journal  
Vol.12 (1989) 6-13

## A LEMMA IN COMPLEX FUNCTION THEORY-II

BY

R. BALASUBRAMANIAN AND K. RAMACHANDRA

§1. INTRODUCTION. This is a continuation of [1] and [2]. However the method here is different and is self-contained. In [2] we proved a general result which implied the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be analytic in  $|z| \leq r$  and there let  $|f(z)| \leq M, (M \geq 3)$ . Let  $A \geq 1$ . Then*

$$|f(0)| \leq (24A \log M) \left( \frac{1}{2r} \int_{-r}^r |f(iy)| dy \right) + M^{-A}. \quad (1)$$

We also proved a corresponding result with  $|f(x+iy)|$  in place of  $|f(iy)|$ , with suitable restrictions on  $x$  and also on the range of integration namely on  $y$ . These are statements about  $|f(z)|$  where  $f(z)$  is analytic. We now consider  $|f(z)|^k$  where  $k > 0$  is any real number independent of  $z$ . We prove

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $k$  be any positive real number. Let  $f(z)$  be analytic in  $|z| \leq 2r$  and there  $|f(z)|^k \leq M (M \geq 9)$ . Let  $x = r(\log M)^{-1}$ , and let  $x_1$  be any real number with  $|x_1| \leq x$ . Put  $r_0 = \sqrt{4r^2 - x_1^2}$ . Then with  $A \geq 1$  we have*

$$|f(0)|^k \leq 2e^{84A} M^{-A} + \frac{24}{(2\pi)^2} e^{84A} \log M \left( \frac{1}{2r_0} \int_{-r_0}^{r_0} |f(x_1 + iy)|^k dy \right). \quad (2)$$

**REMARK 1.** It is easy to remember a somewhat crude result namely

$$|f(0)|^k \leq e^{90A} \{M^{-A} + (\log M) \left( \frac{1}{2r_0} \int_{-r_0}^{r_0} |f(x_1 + iy)|^k dy \right)\}. \quad (2')$$

**REMARK 2.** In Theorem 1 the constants are reasonably small whereas in Theorem 2 they are big. We have not attempted to get optimal constants.

**REMARK 3.** Let  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m$  be any set of positive real numbers. Let  $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_m(z)$  be analytic in  $|z| \leq 2r$ , and there

$$|(f_1(z))^{k_1} \dots (f_m(z))^{k_m}| \leq M (M \geq 9).$$

Then Theorem 2 holds good with  $|f(z)|^k$  replaced by  $|(f_1(z))^{k_1} \dots (f_m(z))^{k_m}|$ .

**REMARK 4.** A corollary to our result mentioned in Remark 3 was pointed out to us by Professor J.P. Demailly. It is this : Theorem 2 holds good with  $|f(z)|^k$  replaced by  $Exp(u)$  where  $u$  is any subharmonic function. To prove

this it suffices to note that the set of functions of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^m k_j \log |f_j(z)|$  is dense in  $L^1_{loc}$  in the set of subharmonic functions. (This follows by using Green-Riesz representation formula for  $u$  and approximating the measure  $\Delta_u$  by finite sums of Dirac measures).

**REMARK 5.** Consider  $k = 1$  in Theorem 2. Put  $\varphi(z) = f^{(\ell)}(z)$  the  $\ell$ th derivative of  $f(z)$ . Then our method of proof gives

$$|\varphi(0)| \leq CM^{-A} + C(\log M)^{\ell+1} \left( \frac{1}{4r} \int_{-4r}^{4r} |f(iy)| dy \right),$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $A$  and  $\ell$ .

**REMARK 6.** (Due to J.-P. Demailly). In view of the example  $f(z) = \left(\frac{e^{nz}-1}{nz}\right)^2$ , where  $n$  is a large positive integer and  $r = 1$ , the result of Remark 5 is best possible.

**§ 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** The proof consists of four steps.

**STEP 1.** First we consider the circle  $|z| = r$ . Let

$$0 < 2x \leq r \quad (3)$$

and let PQS denote respectively the points  $re^{i\theta}$  where  $\theta = -\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{2x}{r}\right), \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{2x}{r}\right)$  and  $\pi$ . By the consideration of Riemann mapping theorem and the zero cancellation factors we have for a suitable meromorphic function  $\phi(z)$  (in PQSP)

that (we can assume that  $f(z)$  has no zeros on the boundary)

$$F(z) = (\phi(z)f(z))^k \quad (4)$$

is analytic in the region enclosed by the straight line PQ and the circular arc QSP. Further  $\phi(z)$  satisfies

$$|\phi(z)| = 1 \quad (5)$$

on the boundary of PQSP and also

$$|\phi(0)| \geq 1. \quad (6)$$

Let

$$X = \text{Exp}(u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n) \quad (7)$$

where  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  vary over the box  $\mathcal{B}$  defined by

$$0 \leq u_j \leq B (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

and  $B > 0$ .

We begin with

**LEMMA 1.** *The function  $F(z)$  defined above satisfies*

$$F(0) = I_1 + I_2 \quad (8)$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{PQ} F(z) X^z \frac{dz}{z} \quad (9)$$

and

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{QSP} F(z) X^z \frac{dz}{z} \quad (10)$$

where the lines of integration are the straight line PQ and the circular arc QSP.

**PROOF.** Follows by Cauchy's theorem.

**LEMMA 2.** *We have*

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{e^{2Bnx}}{2\pi} \int_{PQ} |(f(z))^k \frac{dz}{z}| \quad (11)$$

**PROOF.** Follows since  $|X^z| \leq e^{2Bnx}$  and also  $|\phi(z)| = 1$  on PQ.

**LEMMA 3.** We have,

$$\left| B^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{B}} I_2 du_1 \dots du_n \right| \leq e^{2Bnx} \left( \frac{2}{Br} \right)^n M. \quad (12)$$

**PROOF.** Follows since on QSP we have  $|\phi(z)| = 1$  (and so  $|F(z)| \leq M$ ) and also

$$\left| B^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{B}} \left( \int_{QSP} X^z \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \right) du_1 \dots du_n \right| \leq \left( \frac{2}{Br} \right)^n.$$

**LEMMA 4.** We have,

$$|f(0)|^k \leq e^{2Bnx} \left( \frac{2}{Br} \right)^n M + \frac{e^{2Bnx}}{2\pi} \int_{PQ} \left| (f(z))^k \frac{dz}{z} \right|. \quad (13)$$

**PROOF.** Follows by Lemmas 1,2 and 3.

**STEP 2.** Next in (13), we replace  $|f(z)|^k$  by an integral over a chord  $P_1Q_1$  (parallel to PQ) of  $|w| = 2r$ , of slightly bigger length with a similar error. Let  $x_1$  be any real number with

$$|x_1| \leq x. \quad (14)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Let } P_1Q_1R_1 \text{ be the points } 2re^{i\theta} \\ \text{where } \theta = -\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{x_1}{2r}\right), 0 \text{ and } \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{x_1}{2r}\right). \\ \text{(If } x_1 \text{ is negative we have to consider the points} \\ \theta = -\frac{\pi}{2} - \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{x_1}{2r}\right), 0 \text{ and } \frac{\pi}{2} + \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{x_1}{2r}\right)). \end{array} \right. \quad (15)$$

Let  $X$  be as in (7). As before let

$$G(w) = (\psi(w)f(w))^k \quad (16)$$

be analytic in the region enclosed by the circular arc  $P_1R_1Q_1$  and the straight line  $Q_1P_1$  (we can assume that  $f(z)$  has no zeros on the boundary  $P_1R_1Q_1P_1$ ). By the consideration of Riemann mapping theorem and the zero cancelling factors there exists such a meromorphic function  $\psi(w)$  (in  $P_1R_1Q_1P_1$ ) with the extra properties,

$$|\psi(w)| = 1 \text{ on the boundary of } P_1R_1Q_1P_1 \text{ and } |\psi(z)| \geq 1. \quad (17)$$

**LEMMA 5.** we have with  $z$  on PQ,

$$G(z) = I_3 + I_4$$

where

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Q_1 P_1} G(w) X^{-(w-z)} \frac{dw}{w-z} \quad (18)$$

and

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{P_1 R_1 Q_1} G(w) X^{-(w-z)} \frac{dw}{w-z} \quad (19)$$

**PROOF.** Follows by Cauchy's theorem

**LEMMA 6.** We have with  $z$  on PQ

$$|I_3| \leq \frac{e^{3Bnz}}{2\pi} \int_{P_1 Q_1} |(f(w))^k| \frac{dw}{w-z} \quad (20)$$

**PROOF.** Follows since  $|X^{-(w-z)}| \leq e^{3Bnz}$  and  $|\psi(w)| = 1$  on  $P_1 Q_1$ .

**LEMMA 7.** We have with  $z$  on PQ,

$$|B^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{B}} I_4 du_1 \dots du_n| \leq e^{3Bnz} \left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n M. \quad (21)$$

**PROOF.** Follows since on  $P_1 R_1 Q_1$  we have  $|\psi(w)| = 1$  (and so  $|G(w)| \leq M$ ) and also

$$|B^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{B}} \int x^{-(w-z)} \frac{dw}{2\pi i(w-z)} du_1 \dots du_n| \leq \left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n.$$

**LEMMA 8.** We have with  $z$  on PQ,

$$|f(z)|^k \leq e^{3Bnz} \left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n M + \frac{e^{3Bnz}}{2\pi} \int_{P_1 Q_1} |f(w)|^k \frac{dw}{w-z}. \quad (22)$$

**PROOF.** Follows from Lemmas 5,6 and 7.

**STEP 3.** We now combine Lemmas 4 and 8.

**LEMMA 9.** We have

$$|f(0)|^k \leq e^{2Bnz} \left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n M + J_1 + J_2 \quad (23)$$

where

$$J_1 = \frac{e^{5Bnz}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n M \int_{PQ} \left| \frac{dz}{z} \right|, \quad (24)$$

and

$$J_2 = \frac{e^{5Bnz}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{P_1 Q_1} |f(w)|^k \left( \int_{PQ} \left| \frac{dz}{z(w-z)} \right| \right) |dw| \quad (25)$$

**LEMMA 10.** *We have*

$$\int_{PQ} \left| \frac{dz}{z} \right| \leq 2 + 2 \log\left(\frac{r}{2x}\right). \quad (26)$$

**PROOF.** On PQ we have  $z = 2x + iy$  with  $|y| \leq r$  and  $2x \leq r$ . We split the integral into  $|y| \leq 2x$  and  $2x \leq |y| \leq r$ . On these, we use respectively the lower bounds  $|z| \geq 2x$  and  $|z| \geq y$ . The lemma follows by these observations.

**LEMMA 11.** *We have for  $w$  on  $P_1Q_1$  and  $z$  on PQ,*

$$\int_{PQ} \left| \frac{dz}{z(w-z)} \right| \leq \frac{6}{x}. \quad (27)$$

**PROOF.** On PQ we have  $\operatorname{Re} z = 2x$  and on  $P_1Q_1$  we have  $|\operatorname{Re} w| \leq x$  and so  $|\operatorname{Re}(w-z)| \geq x$ . We have

$$\left| \frac{dz}{z(w-z)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{dz}{z^2} \right| + \left| \frac{dz}{(w-z)^2} \right|.$$

Writing  $z = 2x + iy$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{PQ} \left| \frac{dz}{z^2} \right| &\leq \frac{2}{(2x)^2} 2x + 2 \int_{2x}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{PQ} \left| \frac{dz}{(w-z)^2} \right| &\leq 2\left(\frac{1}{x} + \int_x^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

**STEP 4.** We collect together the results in Steps 3 and 4 and choose the parameters  $B$  and  $n$  and this will give Theorem 2. Combining Lemmas 9,10 and 11 we state the following lemma.

**LEMMA 12.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(0)|^k &\leq e^{2Bnz} \left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n M + \frac{e^{5Bnz}}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n (1 + \log \frac{r}{2x}) M \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{5Bnz}}{(2\pi)^2} \cdot \frac{6}{x} \int_{P_1Q_1} |(f(w))^k dw|, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where  $0 < 2x \leq r$ ,  $x_1$  is any real number with  $|x_1| \leq x$ ,  $n$  any natural number and  $B$  is any positive real number and  $P_1Q_1$  is the straight line joining  $-r_0$  and  $r_0$  where  $r_0 = \sqrt{4r^2 - x_1^2}$ .

Next we note that  $1 + \log \frac{r}{2x} \leq \frac{r}{2x}$  and so by putting  $x = r(\log M)^{-1}$  the first two terms on the RHS of (28) together do not exceed

$$\left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n e^{5Bnz} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log M\right) M \leq 2\left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n e^{5Bnz} M \log M.$$

Also,

$$\frac{6}{x} = \frac{6 \log M}{r} = 6 \log M \left(\frac{2r_0}{r}\right) \frac{1}{2r_0} \leq (24 \log M) \left(\frac{1}{2r_0}\right).$$

Thus RHS of (28) does not exceed

$$2\left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n e^{5Bnz} M \log M + \left(\frac{24}{(2\pi)^2} e^{5Bnz} \log M\right) \left(\frac{1}{2r_0} \int_{P_1Q_1} |(f(w))^k dw|\right).$$

We have chosen  $x = r(\log M)^{-1}$ . We now choose  $B$  such that  $Br = 2e$  and  $n = [C \log M] + 1$ , where  $C \geq 1$  is any real number. We have  $5Bnz \leq \frac{5Bnr}{\log M} \leq 10e(C+1) \leq 28(C+1)$  and also

$$\left(\frac{2}{Br}\right)^n \leq e^{-C \log M} = M^{-C}.$$

With these choices of  $x, B, n$  we see that RHS of (28) does not exceed

$$2M^{-C} e^{28(C+1)} M \log M + \left(\frac{24}{(2\pi)^2} e^{28(C+1)} \log M\right) \left(\frac{1}{2r_0} \int_{P_1Q_1} |f(w)|^k dw\right).$$

Putting  $C = A + 2$  we obtain Theorem 2 since  $C + 1 \leq 3A$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The authors are thankful to Dr. R.R. SIMHA for some discussions on Riemann mapping theorem. They are also thankful to Professor J.-P. DEMAILLY for his Remarks 4 and 6 below Theorem 2.

**REFERENCES**

- [1 ] R. BALASUBRAMANIAN AND K. RAMACHANDRA, *Some local convexity theorems for the zeta-function-like analytic functions*, Hardy-Ramanujan J., 11 (1988), 1-12.
- [2 ] R. BALASUBRAMANIAN AND K. RAMACHANDRA, *A lemma in complex function theory - I*, Hardy-Ramanujan J., Vol.12 (1989), 1-5.

**ADDRESS OF THE AUTHORS**

1) PROFESSOR R. BALASUBRAMANIAN,  
MATSCIENCE, (ON DEPUTATION FROM T.I.F.R.)  
THARAMANI P.O.,  
MADRAS - 600 113  
INDIA

2) PROFESSOR K. RAMACHANDRA,  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS,  
TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH,  
HOMI BHABHA ROAD,  
BOMBAY - 400 005  
INDIA

(MANUSCRIPT COMPLETED ON 24 AUGUST 1989)