

Hardy-Ramanujan Journal  
Vol.24 (2001) 9-17

## On the values of the Riemann zeta-function at rational arguments

S. Kanemitsu, Y. Tanigawa and M. Yoshimoto

*Dedicated to Professor Takashi Yanagawa birthday with great respect*

### Abstract

In our previous papers [3], [4] we obtained a closed form evaluation of Ramanujan's type of the values of the (multiple) Hurwitz zeta-function at rational arguments (with denominator even and numerator odd), which was in turn a vast generalization of D. Klusch's and M. Katsurada's generalization of Ramanujan's formula. In this paper we shall continue our pursuit, specializing to the Riemann zeta-function, and obtain a closed form evaluation thereof at all rational arguments, with no restriction to the form of the rationals, in the critical strip. This is a complete generalization of the results of the aforementioned two authors. We shall obtain as a byproduct some curious identities among the Riemann zeta-values.

### 1 Introduction and notation

In this paper we shall give a closed form evaluation of Ramanujan's type of the values of the Riemann zeta-function at positive rational arguments in the critical strip.

We have launched on this evaluation problem of zeta-values at rational arguments in [2], where we have succeeded in getting a Ramanujan type formula for  $\zeta(2/3)$  after examining the results of D. Klusch [6] and M. Katsurada [5].

In [3] we have evaluated the values of the Hurwitz zeta-function at rational arguments smaller than 1 (of the form  $1 - b/a$ , with  $b$  odd,  $a$  even), which we have transformed into

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11M35, 11M06

The first and third authors are supported by Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 1164005 and the Sumitomo Foundation fellowship 000406 respectively.

the evaluation of values of the multiple Hurwitz zeta-function through the Mellin-Vardi decomposition thereof into a linear combination of Hurwitz zeta-functions.

On the other hand, in [4] we have adopted a method closer to Katsurada's to obtain the evaluation of the multiple Hurwitz zeta-function at positive rational arguments  $b/a$ .

In this paper we shall push forward our approach in [2] to the general situation and evaluate the values of the Riemann zeta-function at positive rational arguments, with no restriction to the form, thus supplying new information on them for  $\zeta(b/a)$  with  $b$  even,  $a$  odd or both odd, missing in all preceding papers [2]-[4] (cf. Corollary 1).

We obtain as by-product some curious identities among modified Lambert series which look non-trivial and which follow by equating two expressions for them (cf. Corollary 2).

For readers' convenience of comparison of the results in this paper and those in [2]-[4] we shall use the same notation and give the reference to the corresponding formulas in the latter.

*Notation.* Let  $0 \leq h \leq N$  be integers. We put

$$(1.1) \quad a_{j,N} = \cos\left(\frac{\pi j}{2N}\right), \quad b_{j,N} = \sin\left(\frac{\pi j}{2N}\right)$$

$$(1.2) \quad A_N(y) = \pi(2\pi y)^{\frac{1}{N}},$$

$$(1.3) \quad f_0(x; n, N) = \frac{e^{-A_N(\frac{n}{x})}}{2 \sinh(A_N(\frac{n}{x}))}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad f_j(x; n, N, h) = \frac{\cos(2A_N(\frac{n}{x})b_{j,N} + \frac{\pi(2h-1)j}{2N}) - e^{-2A_N(\frac{n}{x})a_{j,N}} \cos(\frac{\pi(2h-1)j}{2N})}{\cosh(2A_N(\frac{n}{x})a_{j,N}) - \cos(2A_N(\frac{n}{x})b_{j,N})}$$

for  $j \geq 1$ .

## 2 Values of the Riemann zeta-function

We shall prove the following Ramanujan type formula for  $\zeta(\frac{N-2h+1}{N})$  on the lines as close as possible to those of proofs of the previous results. In the proof, we shall give the corresponding formula numbers in [2] and [4] (since those in [4] are suggestive of the corresponding ones in [3]).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $N$  and  $h$  be fixed natural numbers with  $h \leq N/2$ . Then we have for  $x > 0$ ,*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{N-2h}}{e^{n^N x} - 1} = P(x) + S(x),$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} P(x) = P(x; N, h) = & -\frac{1}{2}\zeta(-N + 2h) + \zeta(2h)x^{-1} \\ & + \frac{1}{N}\Gamma\left(\frac{N - 2h + 1}{N}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{N - 2h + 1}{N}\right) x^{-\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S(x) = S(x; N, h) = & \frac{(-1)^{h+1}}{N} \left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} \\ & \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{2h-1}{N}}} \left\{ f_0(x; n, N) + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} f_{2j}(x; n, N, h) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $N$  odd, and

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} S(x) = S(x; N, h) \\ = \frac{(-1)^{h+1}}{N} \left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{2h-1}{N}}} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} f_{2j-1}(x; n, N, h), \end{aligned}$$

for  $N$  even, with  $f_j$  defined by (1.3) and (1.4).

*Proof.* We consider the integral with  $c_0 > 1$

$$(2.5) \quad I(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c_0)} \Gamma(s)\zeta(s)\zeta(Ns - (N - 2h))x^{-s} ds,$$

where  $(c_0)$  denotes the vertical line  $\sigma = c_0$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$  (cf. [2],[4,(2.6)\*]).

On the one hand, we have

$$(2.6) \quad I(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{N-2h}}{e^{n^N x} - 1},$$

i.e. the left-hand side of (2.1) (cf.[2], [4,(2.6)\*]).

We now shift the line of integration to  $(-c)$  with  $c > 0$ , whereby we encounter the poles of the integrand at  $s = 0, 1, \frac{N-2h+1}{N}$ , whence we deduce that

$$(2.7) \quad I(x) = P(x) + J(x)$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad J(x) = J_{-c}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-c)} \Gamma(s)\zeta(s)\zeta(Ns - (N - 2h))x^{-s} ds$$

and  $P(x)$  is given by (2.2) (cf.[2], [4, (2.8)\*<sub>1</sub>]).

Hence it is enough to prove that  $J(x)$  coincides with  $S(x)$ .

We make the change of variables  $s \leftrightarrow 1 - s$  and use the functional equation for the Riemann zeta-function in the form

$$(2.9) \quad \Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s)\zeta(2h - Ns) = (2\pi)^{2h-(N+1)s}(-1)^{h+1}C_N\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \\ \times \Gamma(Ns - 2h + 1)\zeta(Ns - 2h + 1)\zeta(s)$$

(cf. [2], [4,(2.9)\*]), where  $C_N(z)$  denotes the Chebyshev polynomial

$$(2.10) \quad C_N(z) = \frac{\sin Nz}{\sin z} = \sum_{j=-(N-1)}^{N-1} {}'' e^{ijz},$$

and where  $\sum''$  means the summation over  $j$  which increases by 2. Hence the integrand of

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1+c)} \Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s)\zeta(2h - Ns)x^{-(1-s)} ds$$

becomes

$$(2.11) \quad G(s)x^{-(1-s)} = (-1)^{h+1}(2\pi)^{2h-(N+1)s}C_N\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \\ \times \Gamma(Ns - 2h + 1)\zeta(Ns - 2h + 1)\zeta(s)x^{-(1-s)}.$$

Now make the change of variables

$$Ns - 2h + 1 = s_1, \quad s = \frac{s_1 + 2h - 1}{N}.$$

Then  $G(s)x^{s-1}$  becomes

$$G\left(\frac{s_1 + 2h - 1}{N}\right)x^{-1+\frac{s_1+2h-1}{N}} = (-1)^{h+1}\left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}}\left(\frac{x}{(2\pi)^{N+1}}\right)^{\frac{s_1}{N}} \\ \times \Gamma(s_1)\zeta(s_1)\zeta\left(\frac{s_1 + 2h - 1}{N}\right)C_N\left(\frac{\pi(s_1 + 2h - 1)}{2N}\right).$$

Incorporating these, we successively get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad J(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1+c)} G(s)x^{s-1} ds \\
 &= (-1)^{h+1} \left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c_1)} \left(\frac{(2\pi)^{N+1}}{x}\right)^{-\frac{s_1}{N}} \Gamma(s_1) \\
 &\quad \times \zeta(s_1) \zeta\left(\frac{s_1+2h-1}{N}\right) C_N\left(\frac{\pi(s_1+2h-1)}{2N}\right) \frac{ds_1}{N},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1 = N(1+c) - 2h + 1$  (cf. [2,(3.7)], [4, (2.18)<sub>1</sub>\*, (2.18)<sub>2</sub>]).

We substitute the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s)\zeta\left(\frac{s+2h-1}{N}\right) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{2h-1}{N}} (mn^{\frac{1}{N}})^{-s}$$

in (2.12) to obtain

$$(2.13) \quad J(x) = \frac{(-1)^{h+1}}{N} \left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{2h-1}{N}} E(X_{m,n})$$

(cf. [2],[4,(2.18)<sub>3</sub>\*, (2.19)\*]), where ( $\kappa = c_1$ )

$$(2.14) \quad E(X_{m,n}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\kappa)} X_{m,n}^{-s} C_N\left(\frac{\pi(s+2h-1)}{2N}\right) \Gamma(s) ds$$

(cf. [2,(3.9)] [4,(2.21)\*]) with  $X_{m,n}$  denoting

$$(2.15) \quad X_{m,n} = 2\pi m \left(\frac{2\pi n}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} = 2mA_N\left(\frac{n}{x}\right),$$

where  $A_N(n/x)$  is defined by (1.3) (cf. [4,(2.22)\*]).

To evaluate  $E(X_{m,n})$  we use (2.10) to write

$$(2.16) \quad X_{m,n}^{-s} C_N\left(\frac{\pi(s+2h-1)}{2N}\right) = \sum_{j=-(N-1)}^{N-1} e^{\frac{\pi i(2h-1)j}{2N}} \left(X_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi ij}{2N}}\right)^{-s}$$

with

$$|\arg X_{m,n} e^{-\frac{\pi ij}{2N}}| < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Hence the well-known Mellin inversion formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\kappa)} \Gamma(s) Y^{-s} ds = e^{-Y}$$

applies, and we have

$$(2.17) \quad E(X_{m,n}) = \sum_{j=-(N-1)}^{N-1} e^{\frac{\pi i(2h-1)j}{2N}} \left( e^{-2A_N(\frac{n}{x})} e^{-\frac{\pi i j}{2N}} \right)^m$$

(cf. [4,(2.24)\*]).

Substituting (2.17) in (2.13) and summing the geometric progression in  $m$ , we deduce that

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} J(x) &= \frac{(-1)^{h+1}}{N} \left( \frac{2\pi}{x} \right)^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} \sum_{j=-(N-1)}^{N-1} e^{\frac{\pi i(2h-1)j}{2N}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{2h-1}{N}}} \frac{1}{e^{2A_N(\frac{n}{x})} e^{-\frac{\pi i j}{2N}} - 1} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{h+1}}{N} \left( \frac{2\pi}{x} \right)^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{2h-1}{N}} \sum_{j=-(N-1)}^{N-1} Z_{j,n}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z_{j,n}(x) = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i(2h-1)j}{2N}} e^{-A_N(\frac{n}{x})} e^{-\frac{\pi i j}{2N}}}{2 \sinh(A_N(\frac{n}{x}) e^{-\frac{\pi i j}{2N}})}$$

(cf. [4,(2.30)\*]).

We sum  $Z_j$ 's in pairs :  $Z_{j,n}(x) + Z_{-j,n}(x)$ . Distinguishing two cases according to the parity of  $N$ , we deduce that  $J(x)$  coincides with  $S(x)$  in (2.3) and (2.4) (cf. [4,(2.31)-(2.34)])

**Corollary 1.** *Under the same notation as in Theorem 1, let  $L(x; N, h)$  be the function defined by*

$$(2.19) \quad L(x; N, h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{N-2h}}{e^{n^N x} - 1} - S(x; N, h) + \frac{1}{2} \zeta(-N+2h) - \zeta(2h)x^{-1}.$$

Then we have

$$(2.20) \quad \zeta\left(\frac{N-2h+1}{N}\right) = \frac{N}{\Gamma(\frac{N-2h+1}{N})} x^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} L(x; N, h)$$

and

$$(2.21) \quad \zeta\left(\frac{2h-1}{N}\right) = \frac{N}{\pi} (2\pi)^{\frac{2h-1}{N}} \sin \frac{\pi(2h-1)}{2N} x^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} L(x; N, h)$$

*Proof.* The first assertion is just the restatement of Theorem 1 and the second assertion follows from the functional equation of the Riemann zeta-function.

### 3 Identities among Lambert series

We shall prove the following consequence of our Corollary 1 which may be of interest for its own sake.

**Corollary 2.** *In the notation of Corollary 1, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad lL\left(x; lN, hl - \frac{l-1}{2}\right) = L(x; N, l)$$

holds for all  $l \geq 1$ , odd.

Further suppose  $N$  even and put

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{L}(x; N, h) = \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{x}\right)^{\frac{2h}{N}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2h-1+N}{2N}\right) L(x; N, h).$$

Then

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{L}(x; N, h) = \tilde{L}(x; N, N/2 - h + 1)$$

holds for all  $h$ ,  $1 \leq h \leq N/2$ .

*Proof.* Formula (3.1) follows from two distinct representations of  $\zeta(s)$  at

$$s = 1 - \frac{2h-1}{N} = 1 - \frac{2(hl - \frac{l-1}{2}) - 1}{Nl}.$$

for any odd integer  $l$ .

We now turn to (3.3) and suppose  $N$  even. Equate the expressions for  $\zeta(\frac{2h-1}{N})$  given by (2.20) and by (2.21) with  $h$  replaced by  $h_1 = \frac{N}{2} - h + 1$  to obtain

$$\frac{N}{\pi} (2\pi)^{\frac{2h-1}{N}} \sin \frac{\pi(2h-1)}{2N} x^{\frac{N-2h+1}{N}} L(x; N, h) = \frac{N}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-2h_1+1}{N}\right)} x^{\frac{N-2h_1+1}{N}} L(x; N, h_1)$$

or

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} (2\pi)^{\frac{2h-1}{N}} \sin \frac{\pi(2h-1)}{2N} \Gamma\left(\frac{2h-1}{N}\right) x^{\frac{N-4h+2}{N}} L(x; N, h) = L(x; N, h_1).$$

By the duplication formula and the reciprocity relation of the gamma function, we see that

$$\sin \frac{\pi(2h-1)}{2N} \Gamma\left(\frac{2h-1}{N}\right) = 2^{\frac{2h-1}{N}-1} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2h-1+N}{2N}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2(N/2-h+1)-1+N}{2N}\right)},$$

and therefore (3.4) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi} (2\pi)^{\frac{2h-1}{N}} 2^{\frac{2h-1}{N}-1} \sqrt{\pi x} \frac{N-4h+2}{N} \Gamma\left(\frac{2h-1+N}{2N}\right) L(x; N, h) \\ & = \Gamma\left(\frac{2(N/2-h+1)-1+N}{2N}\right) L(x; N, N/2-h+1). \end{aligned}$$

Combing (3.2) and (3.5) implies the assertion (3.3). This completes the proof.

*Remark 1.* It may be worth recording that the main deformation in (3.1) occurs in the first summation and the coefficients  $f_j$ 's in the definition of  $L$ , i.e. in place of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{N-2h}}{e^{n^N x-1}}$  and  $f_j$ 's, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{(N-h+1)l-1}}{e^{n^{Nl}x} - 1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & f_j(x; n, lN, hl - \frac{l-1}{2}) \\ & = \frac{\cos(2A_{lN}(\frac{n}{x}) \sin \frac{\pi j}{2lN} + \frac{\pi(2h-1)j}{2N}) - e^{-2A_{lN}(\frac{n}{x}) \cos \frac{\pi j}{2lN}} \cos(\frac{\pi(2h-1)j}{2N})}{\cosh(2A_{lN}(\frac{n}{x}) \cos \frac{\pi j}{2lN}) - \cos(2A_{lN}(\frac{n}{x}) \sin \frac{\pi j}{2lN})} \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

*Examples* (i) In (3.1), we take  $N = 2$ ,  $h = 1$ ,  $l = 3$ . Then we get  $L(x; 2, 1) = 3L(x; 6, 2)$ .

More explicitly, it reads that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{n^2x} - 1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_1(x; n, 2, 1)}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\pi^2}{6x} \\ & = 3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{e^{n^6x} - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \{f_1(x; n, 6, 2) + f_3(x; n, 6, 2) \\ & \quad + f_5(x; n, 6, 2)\} - \frac{\pi^4}{30x}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Next we take  $N = 4$ ,  $h = 1$  in (3.2). Then  $\tilde{L}(x; 4, 1) = \tilde{L}(x; 4, 2)$ . This means that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{8}\right) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{e^{n^4x} - 1} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/4}} (f_1(x; n, 4, 1) + f_3(x; n, 4, 1)) - \frac{\pi^2}{6x} \right\} \\ & = \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{8}\right) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{n^4x} - 1} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\pi^4}{90x} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3/4}} (f_1(x; n, 4, 2) + f_3(x; n, 4, 2)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$



## References

- [1] S. Kanemitsu, Y. Tanigawa and M. Yoshimoto, On rapidly convergent series for the Riemann zeta-values via the modular relation, preprint.
- [2] S. Kanemitsu, Y. Tanigawa and M. Yoshimoto, On zeta- and  $L$ -function values at special rational arguments via the modular relation, to appear.
- [3] S. Kanemitsu, Y. Tanigawa and M. Yoshimoto, On multiple Hurwitz zeta-function values at rational arguments I, preprint.
- [4] S. Kanemitsu, Y. Tanigawa and M. Yoshimoto, On multiple Hurwitz zeta-function values at rational arguments II, preprint.
- [5] M. Katsurada, On an asymptotic formula of Ramanujan for a certain theta-type series, *Acta Arith.* **97** (2001), 157–172.
- [6] D. Klusch, On Entry 8 of Chapter 15 of Ramanujan’s Notebook II, *Acta Arith.* **58** (1991), 59–64.

Shigeru Kanemitsu  
Graduate School of Advanced Technology  
University of Kinki, Iizuka  
Fukuoka, 820-8555, Japan  
e-mail: [kanemitsu@fuk.kindai.ac.jp](mailto:kanemitsu@fuk.kindai.ac.jp)

Yoshio Tanigawa  
Graduate School of Mathematics  
Nagoya University  
Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan  
e-mail: [tanigawa@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp](mailto:tanigawa@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

Masami Yoshimoto  
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences  
Kyoto University  
Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan  
e-mail: [yosimoto@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:yosimoto@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp)