Hardy-Ramanujan Journal Vol.29 (2006) 37-43

# A REMARK ON A THEOREM OF A.E.INGHAM BY K.G.BHAT and K.RAMACHANDRA

<u>**ABSTRACT**</u>. The theorem of INGHAM  $[AEI]_1$  referred to is:

For all  $N \ge N_0$  (an absolute constant) the inequality

$$N^3 \le p \le (N+1)^3$$

is solvable in a prime p. (It may be noted that the corresponding theorem for squares is an open question even if we assume RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS). Actually INGHAM proved more, namely

$$\pi(x+h) - \pi(x) \sim h(\log x)^{-1}$$

where  $h = x^c$ , where  $c(> \frac{5}{8})$  is any constant. The purpose of this note is to point out that even this stronger form can be proved without using the functional equation of  $\zeta(s)$ .

 $\S1.\underline{\mathbf{INTRODUCTION.}}$  The three main ingredients in the proof of ING-HAM'S theorems are

#### (A) I.M.VINOGRADOV's deep result

$$\zeta(s) \neq 0 \ (s = \sigma + it), \ \sigma \ge 1 - K_1 (\log t)^{-\frac{2}{3}} (\log \log t)^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \tag{1}$$

 $t \ge 100$ , where  $K_1 > 0$  is an absol]ute constant.

(B) Explicit formula for

$$\sum_{p \le x} \log p \ ([\text{AEI}]_2). \tag{2}$$

(C)

$$N(\sigma, T) < T^{(\frac{8}{3})(1-\sigma)} \ (\log T)^{100} \tag{3}$$

where  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ ,  $T \geq 1000$ . The precise power of  $\log T$  is unimportant. Any constant in place of 100 will do.  $N(\sigma, T)$  denotes the number of zeros  $\beta + i\gamma$  of  $\zeta(s)$  with  $\beta \geq \sigma$  and  $|\gamma| \leq T$ .

1) The toughest part is (A). It follows from the deep result (due to I.M.VINOGRADOV)

$$|\zeta(\sigma+it)| \le (t^{(1-\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \log t)^{K_2} \quad (\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le 1, \ t \ge 100)$$
(4)

where  $K_2 > 0$  is an absolute constant and (1) follows from this in a relatively simple way by a method due to E.LANDAU[KR]<sub>1</sub>. For a proof of (4) without using the functional equation see [KR,AS].

2) Explicit formula uses the functional equation, but an alternative approach is due to  $[KR]_2$  by the introduction of HOOLEY-HUXLEY contour.

3) The proof of (3) uses

$$\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) = O(t^{\frac{1}{6}}\log t), t \ge 100,$$
(5)

where the O-constant is absolute. The main work in the present note is to sketch a proof of this without using the functional equation of  $\zeta(s)$ .

## §2. <u>SOME REMARKS</u> In fact we write

$$\zeta(s,\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+\alpha)^{-s} \ (0 < \alpha \le 1, \ s = \sigma + it, \ \sigma > 1), \tag{6}$$

and next if X is any positive integer we have

$$\zeta(s,\alpha) = \alpha^{-s} - \sum_{n=1}^{X} (n+\alpha)^{-s} + \sum_{n>X} ((n+\alpha)^{-s} - \int_{n}^{n+1} \frac{du}{(u+\alpha)^{s}}) + \int_{X+1}^{\infty} (u+\alpha)^{-s} du.$$
(7)

Since the last term in (7) is

$$\frac{(X+1+\alpha)^{1-s}}{s-1}$$
(8)

38

and the rest is analytic in  $\sigma > 0$ , (7) gives the analytic continuation in  $\sigma > 0$  of (6). We prove our main theorem which is as follows.

#### THEOREM. We have

$$\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it, \alpha) - \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2} - it} = O(t^{\frac{1}{6}} \log t), (t \ge 10)$$
(9)

uniformly in the real parameter  $\alpha$ . (Note that  $\zeta(s, 1) = \zeta(s)$ ).

§3. **<u>PROOF OF THE THEOREM</u>** We use van-der Corput's theorems (Theorems 5.9 and 5.11 of [ECT]) and after the proof of the theorem we make some comments about the Weyl-Hardy-Littlewood method of proof of (9).

**THEOREM 5.9**. If f(x) is real and twice continuously differentiable and

$$0 < \lambda_2 \le f''(x) \le h\lambda_2 (or \ 0 < \lambda_2 \le -f''(x) \le h\lambda_2)$$

throughout the interval (a, b) and  $b \ge a + 1$ , then

$$\sum_{a < n \le b} e^{2\pi i f(n)} = O(h(b-a)\lambda_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) + O(\lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$
(10)

**THEOREM 5.11.** If f(x) is real and thrice continuously differentiable and

$$0 < \lambda_3 \le f'''(x) \le h\lambda_3 \ ( or \ 0 < \lambda_3 \le -f'''(x) \le h\lambda_3 )$$

throughout the interval (a,b) and  $b \ge a+1$ , then

$$\sum_{a < n \le b} e^{2\pi f(n)} = O(h^{\frac{1}{2}}(b-a)\lambda_3^{\frac{1}{6}}) + O((b-a)^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_3^{-\frac{1}{6}}).$$
(11)

We now apply these to

$$E \equiv \sum_{a \le n \le b (\le 2a)} (n+\alpha)^{-it} \text{ with } a \ge 10.$$
(12)

Here  $f(x) = -\frac{t}{2\pi} \log(x + \alpha)$ . We have

$$f'(x) = -\frac{t}{2\pi(x+\alpha)}$$

A Remark on A Theorem of A. E. Ingham

$$f''(x) = \frac{t}{2\pi(x+\alpha)^2}$$
  
$$f'''(x) = -\frac{2t}{2\pi(x+\alpha)^3}.$$

Thus

$$C_{1} \leq f''(x)a^{2}t^{-1} \leq C_{2}$$
  
and  $C_{3} \leq f'''(x)a^{3}t^{-1} \leq C_{4}$  (13)

where  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  and  $C_4$  are absolute positive constants. Thus we have

$$\sum_{a < n \le b (\le 2a)} (n+\alpha)^{-it} = O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + O(at^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$
(14)

$$\sum_{a < n \le b (\le 2a)} (n+\alpha)^{-it} = O(t^{\frac{1}{6}}a^{\frac{1}{2}}) + O(t^{-\frac{1}{6}}a).$$
(15)

Hence by partial summation we have

$$\sum_{a < n \le b (\le 2a)} (n+\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}-it} = O((\frac{t}{a})^{\frac{1}{2}}) + O((\frac{a}{t})^{\frac{1}{2}})$$
(16)

and

$$t\left(\sum_{a < n \le b(\le 2a)} (n+\alpha)^{-\frac{3}{2}-it}\right) = O((\frac{t}{a})^{\frac{3}{2}}) + O((\frac{t}{a})^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$
(17)

Also we need

$$\sum_{a < n \le b (\le 2a)} (n+\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}-it} = O(t^{\frac{1}{6}}) + O(t^{-\frac{1}{6}}a^{\frac{1}{2}})$$
(18)

which follows from (15). From (18) there follows

$$\sum_{1 \le n \le t^{\frac{2}{3}}} (n+\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}-it} = O(t^{\frac{1}{6}}\log t).$$
(19)

From (16) there follows

$$\sum_{\substack{t^{\frac{2}{3}} \le n \le t^{\frac{4}{3}}}} (n+\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}-it} = O(t^{\frac{1}{6}}\log t)$$
(20)

Thus

$$\sum_{1 \le n \le t^{\frac{4}{3}}} (n+\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}-it} = O(t^{\frac{1}{6}}\log t).$$
(21)

We now fix  $X = [t^{\frac{4}{3}}]$ . The term (8) contributes  $O(t^{-\frac{1}{3}})$ . We note that (with  $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ )

$$\sum_{n>X} \left( (n+\alpha)^{-s} - \int_n^{n+1} \frac{du}{(u+\alpha)^s} \right)$$
  
=  $\sum_{n>X} \int_n^{n+1} \left( (n+\alpha)^{-s} - (u+\alpha)^{-s} \right) du$   
=  $\sum_{n>X} s \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^u (n+v+\alpha)^{-s-1} dv \right) du$ 

and so its absolute value is

$$O\left(\sum_{a>t^{\frac{4}{3}}} \left( \left(\frac{t}{a}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \left(\frac{t}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) = O(t^{-\frac{1}{6}}).$$

This proves our main theorem.

**<u>REMARK 1</u>** Let X be an arbitrary positive integer  $\geq 20(|t| + 20)(K + 1)$ . Then by iteration of the method by which we continued  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  in  $\sigma > 0$  (incidentally the method is due to E.LANDAU (Handbuch der primzahlen) we can get the analytic continuation in  $|\sigma| \leq (K + 1)$  (K being arbitrary constant) and also the inequality

$$\zeta(s,\alpha) = \alpha^{-s} + \sum_{n \le X} (n+\alpha)^{-s} + \frac{X^{1-s}}{s-1} + O(X^{-\sigma})$$

where  $s = \sigma + it$  ( $\sigma$  arbitrary). (O constant depends on K). For this see  $[KR]_1$ .

**<u>REMARK 2</u>** A remark on Weyl-Hardy-Littlewood method is necessary here. The proof of Theorem 5.5 of [ECT] goes through to prove  $\sum_{1 \le n \le t^{\frac{2}{3}}} (n+\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}-it} = O(t^{\frac{1}{6}}L) \text{ except for trival complications arising from the}$ 

presence of the real parameter  $\alpha$ . This uses the integer parameter k to be 2. However if we use the case k = 1 simple computations show that

$$\sum_{t^{\frac{2}{3}} \le n \le Ct} (n+\alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}-it} = O(t^{\frac{1}{6}}L)$$

whatever the constant  $C \ge 10$  be. Here L is some fixed power of log t. These considerations prove the main theorem in view of Remark 1 above. We stress once again that functional equations for  $\zeta(s)$  or  $\zeta(s, \alpha)$  are not necessary in the proof of INGHAM's theorems. L can be any fixed power of log t and this is enough to prove INGHAM's asymptotic formula mentioned in the abstract.

## REFERENCES

- [AEI]<sub>1</sub> A.E.INGHAM, On the difference between consecutive primes,, Quart. J. Oxford(1937), 255-266.
- [AEI]<sub>2</sub> A.E.INGHAM, The distribution of prime numbers, Stechert-Hafner Service Agency, New York and London, (1964).
- [KR]<sub>1</sub> K.RAMACHANDRA, Riemann zeta-function, Publ. RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE (1979).
- [KR]<sub>2</sub> K.RAMACHANDRA, Some problems of Analytic Number Theory, Acta Arith, Vol.31(1976), 313-324.
- [KR,AS] K.RAMACHANDRA and A.SANKARANARAYANAN, A remark on Vinogradov's Mean Value Theorem, The J. of Analysis, 3(1995), 111-129.
- [ECT] E.C.TITCHMARSH, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function (second edition, revised and edited by D.R.HEATH-BROWN) Clarendon Press, Oxford (1986).

# ADDRESS OF THE AUTHORS

K.G.BHAT, N I A S, IISc CAMPUS BANGALORE-560012 Email: kishor@nias.iisc.ernet.in

K.RAMACHANDRA N I A S, IISc CAMPUS BANGALORE-560012 Also

TIFR CENTRE P.O.BOX 1234, IISc CAMPUS BANGALORE-560012 Email: kram@math.tifrbng.res.in

Accepted on 17-07-2006