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#### Abstract

In 2010, Murty and Thangadurai [MuTh10] provided a criterion for the set equidistribution of residue classes of subgroups in $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. In this article, using similar methods, we study set equidistribution for some class of subsets of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. In particular, we study the set equidistribution modulo 1 of cosets, complement of subgroups of the cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ and the subset of elements of fixed order, whenever the size of the subset is sufficiently large.
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## 1. Introduction

We say (as defined in [MuSi09]) that a sequence of finite multisets $A_{n}$ with $A_{n} \subseteq[0,1]$ and $\left|A_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ is set equidistributed mod 1 with respect to a probability measure $\mu$, if for every continuous function $f$ on $[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|A_{n}\right|} \sum_{t \in A_{n}} f(t)=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d \mu \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to verify this condition, it suffices to check that this limit exists on a dense family of functions $f$ in $C[0,1]$. Here, we shall make use of the family of Bernoulli polynomials.

Murty and Thangadurai [MuTh10] proved that the elements of the subgroup $H_{n}$ of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, are set equidistributed modulo 1 , whenever $\left|H_{n}\right| / \sqrt{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Motivated from this, one may ask the following natural question: If $S_{n}$ is a subset of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ such that $\left|S_{n}\right|>n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$, are the elements of the subset $S_{n}$ of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ set equidistributed modulo 1 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? In other words, does the result of [MuTh10] apply for subsets and not just subgroups?

In general, the answer is not affirmative. For instance, if $S_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \subset(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ where $m=\left[n^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\right]+1$ and $a_{i}$ 's are the first $m$ integers $\leq n$ with $\left(a_{i}, n\right)=1$, then the elements of $S_{n}:=S_{n}^{\prime} / n$ are close to 0 in $[0,1]$ for all integers $n \rightarrow \infty$ and hence these sets are not set equidistributed mod 1 . However, for many arithmetical subsets like the set of all quadratic non-residues modulo $p$ (which is not a subgroup of $\left.(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*}\right)$, and the set of all generators of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, whenever it is cyclic, the above question makes sense.

In this article, we give a partial answer to the above question. More precisely, we prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\epsilon$ be a given number with $0<\epsilon<1 / 12$. Consider an integer $n=p^{k}$ or $2 p^{k}$ for some odd prime $p$, some integer $k \geq 1$ and a positive divisor $f$ of $n$ satisfying $\phi(n) / f \geq n^{1 / 2+3 \epsilon}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{n, f}$ be a subset of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ which consists precisely of those elements whose index is $f$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ and take the representatives $\mathcal{S}_{f, n}$ as integers, say, $s_{n}$ with $1<s_{n} \leq n-1$ and $\left(s_{n}, n\right)=1$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{f, n}^{\prime}=\left\{s /(n-1): s \in \mathcal{S}_{n, f}\right\} \subset[0,1]$. Then the sets $\mathcal{S}_{f, n}^{\prime}$ 's are set equdistributed in $[0,1]$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

[^0]In Theorem 1.1, when we take $f=1$, then trivially the hypothesis is true. Hence, when $n$ runs through numbers of the form $n=p^{k}$ or $2 p^{k}$ for an odd prime $p$ and for some integer $k \geq 1$, we find that the sets of generators of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ are set equidistributed modulo 1 .

Theorem 1.2. For an integer $n=p^{k}$ or $2 p^{k}$ for some odd prime $p$ and for some integer $k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ be a subset of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ such that its complement is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ and we take the representatives $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ as integers, say, $s_{n}$ with $1<s_{n} \leq n-1$ and $\left(s_{n}, n\right)=1$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\prime}=\{s /(n-1): s \in$ $\left.\mathcal{S}_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$. For a given $\epsilon>0$, if $\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right| / n^{\frac{1}{2}+2 \epsilon} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the $\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\prime} s$ are set equdistributed in $[0,1]$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 1.3. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. For any prime number $p$ such that $p \equiv 1(\bmod r)$, let $H_{p}=$ $\left\{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*}: a^{\frac{p-1}{r}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)\right\} \subset(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ and let the representatives of $H_{p}$ be $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{(p-1) / r}\right\}$ as a subset of $\{1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$. Let

$$
S_{p}=\left\{a / p: a \in\{1,2, \ldots, p-1\} \text { and } a \neq h_{i} \text { for any } i\right\}
$$

Then, as $p \rightarrow \infty$ such that $p \equiv 1(\bmod r)$, the sets $S_{p}$ 's are set equdistributed in $[0,1]$ with respect to Lebesgue measure. In particular, when $r=2$, we get the set of all quadratic non-residues modulo $p$, are set equidistributed in $[0,1]$.

Theorem 1.4. For any integer $n \geq 2$, let $H_{n}^{\prime}$ be a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ and take the representatives of $H_{n}^{\prime}$ as integers, say, $h$ such that $1 \leq h<n$ and $(n, h)=1$. Let $H_{n}=\left\{h / n: h \in H_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ be a finite subset of $[0,1]$. If $\left|H_{n}\right| / \sqrt{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then for any given $g_{n} \in(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, the cosets $g_{n} H_{n}$ 's are set equidistributed in $[0,1]$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $[0,1]$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove the sets $S_{n}$ are set equidistributed, it suffices to determine the behaviour of sums of the form

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\left|S_{n}\right|} f_{m}\left(g_{k}\right)
$$

for any suitable family of polynomials $f_{m}$ of degree $m$ for each integer $m \geq 1$, with $g_{k} \in S_{n}$. It is convenient to take the Bernoulli polynomials which are defined as

$$
B_{m}(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} B_{k} X^{m-k}
$$

for each integer $m \geq 1$ where $B_{k}$ denotes the $k$ th-Bernoulli number, because the set of all finite $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combinations of $\left\{B_{m}(X)\right\}$ is a dense subset of $C[0,1]$ (see [Apo76]). Therefore, we consider the sum

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\left|S_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)
$$

and we would like to prove that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|S_{n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|S_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} B_{m}(t) d t
$$

A well-known result states that (for instance, see [Mu08], page 19)

Lemma 2.1. For any integer $m \geq 1$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} B_{m}(t) d t=0
$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1, in order to prove that the sequence of sets $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ are set equidistributed mod 1 , it is enough to prove that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|S_{n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|S_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)=0
$$

The way to understand this sum, $\sum_{k=1}^{\left|S_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)$, is through the generalized Bernoulli numbers (see for instance [Wa97]) which are defined as follows. For any Dirichlet character $\chi:(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and for any integer $m \geq 1$, we define the $m$-th generalized Bernoulli number $B_{m, \chi}$ as

$$
B_{m, \chi}=n^{m-1} \sum_{a=1}^{n} \chi(a) B_{m}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) .
$$

Then we get the connection between $B_{m, \chi}$ and the Dirichlet $L$-function with character $\chi$ at $s=m$ and use the estimates of the special values of $L$-functions. For more information, we refer to Murty [Mu08]. Indeed, we need the following Lemma which can be found in [Mu08], pp 122.

Lemma 2.2. We have the following;

1. For any character $\chi$ on $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ and for any integer $m \geq 1$, we have

$$
L(1-m, \chi)=-\frac{B_{m, \chi}}{m}
$$

2. If $\chi$ is any character on $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, then, there exists a positive constant $C(m)$, depending only on $m$ such that

$$
|L(1-m, \chi)| \leq C(m) n^{m-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

for all integers $m \geq 1$ and for all $n>e^{17}$. (Proof of this fact can be seen in the proof of Theorem 2 in [MuTh10]).

The following lemma is standard and we shall state as follows.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\sigma_{0}(n)$ denote the number of positive divisors $n$. Then, we have

$$
\sigma_{0}(n) \leq n^{\epsilon} \text { for all large enough integers } n,
$$

for any given $\epsilon>0$. Also, we know that

$$
\phi(n) \gg n^{1-\epsilon}
$$

for any given $\epsilon>0$, where $\phi$ stands for the Euler's totient function.
We need the following two crucial lemmas for the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (see Lemma 3 in [Jo73]).

Lemma 2.4. Let $R$ be a finite ring such that $R^{*}$ is the cyclic group of order $n$ for some integer $n \geq 2$ and let $f$ be a positive divisor of $n$. For any $a \in R$, we define

$$
I_{f}(a)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a \in R^{*} \text { and } a \text { is of index } f \text { in } R^{*} ; \\ 0 & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases}
$$

where the index of an element $a \in R^{*}$ means the index of the subgroup generated by $a$ in $R^{*}$. Then, for any $a \in R^{*}$, we have,

$$
I_{f}(a)=\frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \mid(n / f)} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\chi^{f d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(a),
$$

where $\mu$ is the Möbius function and the inner summation runs over all the multiplicative characters $\chi$ of $R$ of order at most $f d$.

The following lemma computes the characteristic function for a given subset $\mathcal{S}$ of a cyclic group $G$ such that its complement is a subgroup.

Lemma 2.5. Let $G$ be a cyclic group of order $n$ for some integer $n \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a finite subset of $G$ such that $G \backslash \mathcal{S}$ is a subgroup of $G$. Let

$$
R=\{r \in \mathbb{N}: r \text { is the index of } a \in \mathcal{S} \text { for some } a\}=\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right\}
$$

be the finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{n}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(a)\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a \in \mathcal{S} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\mu$ is the Mobius function and the inner sum runs over the multiplicative characters $\chi$ of $G$ of order at most $r_{i} d$.

Proof. Suppose $a \in \mathcal{S}$ and let $r_{j}$ be the index of $a$ for some integer $j \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Then by Lemma 2.4, we get

$$
\frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{n}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(a)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=j \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \mid\left(n / r_{i}\right)} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(a)\right)=1
$$

Now, let $b \in G \backslash \mathcal{S}$ and let $q$ be the index of $b$. Then, we shall show that

$$
\frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{n}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(b)=0
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.
To prove this, it suffices to show that $q \notin\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right\}$. Since $G$ is a finite cyclic group, there exists a unique subgroup $H_{q}$ of index $q$. Since the index of $b$ is $q$, we conclude that the subgroup generated by $b$ is equal to $H_{q}$. Also, note that any element in $G$, which is of index $q$, is a generator of $H_{q}$. Since $b \in G \backslash \mathcal{S}$ and by hypothesis $G \backslash \mathcal{S}$ is a subgroup, we conclude that $b \in H_{q} \subset G \backslash \mathcal{S}$. Since $b$ is arbitrary, we conclude that any element of index $q$ lies in $G \backslash \mathcal{S}$. Therefore, $q \notin\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{\ell}\right\}$ and proves the lemma.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\chi^{f d=\chi_{0}}} \chi(a)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a \in \mathcal{S}_{f, n} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{f, n}=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\left|S_{f, n}\right|}\right\}$ and $m \geq 1$ be a given integer. Then consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{m}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\chi^{f d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(k)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{m}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \sum_{\chi^{f d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(k)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\left(\sum_{\chi^{f d}=\chi_{0}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \chi(k) B_{m}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\left(\frac{1}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{\chi^{f d}=\chi_{0}} B_{m, \chi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that for each integer $m \geq 1$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Also, by Lemma $2.2(1)$, for any character $\chi$, we have $L(1-m, \chi)=-\frac{B_{m, \chi}}{m}$. Therefore, we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)\right| & =\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|}\left|\frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\left(\frac{1}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{\chi^{f d=\chi_{0}}}(-m) L(1-m, \chi)\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{d}\left(\frac{m}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{\chi^{f d=\chi_{0}}}|L(1-m, \chi)|\right) \\
& =\frac{m}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right| n^{m-1}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{d}\left(\sum_{\chi^{f d}=\chi_{0}}|L(1-m, \chi)|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C^{\prime}(m)}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right| n^{m-1}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{1}{d}\left(\sum_{\chi^{f d}=\chi_{0}} n^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant $C^{\prime}(m)$ that depends only on $m$ by Lemma 2.2 (2). Therefore, we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{1}{d}\left(\sum_{\chi^{f d}=\chi_{0}} 1\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} \frac{1}{d}(f d)=\frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|}\left(\sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right.} 1\right) \\
& =\frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \sigma_{0}\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the set $\mathcal{S}_{f, n}$ precisely contains the generators of the cyclic subgroup of order $\frac{\phi(n)}{f}$, the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{S}_{f, n}$ is $\phi\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \sigma_{0}\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right) \\
& =\frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\phi\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right)} \sigma_{0}\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For a given $\epsilon>0$, we know that $\sigma_{0}(n)=O\left(n^{\epsilon}\right)$ and $\phi(n)>n^{1-\epsilon}$ for all sufficiently large integers $n$. Hence, since $\sigma_{0}\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right) \leq C\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right)^{\epsilon}$ for some positive constant $C$ and $\phi\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right)>\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{f}\right)^{1-\epsilon}$. Thus, we get,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)\right|<\frac{C^{\prime}(m) C \sqrt{n} f^{1-2 \epsilon}}{\phi(n)^{1-2 \epsilon}} .
$$

By hypothesis, we know that $\frac{\phi(n)}{f} \geq n^{1 / 2+3 \epsilon}$, we see that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{f, n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)\right|<\frac{C^{\prime}(m) C}{n^{2 \epsilon-6 \epsilon^{2}}}
$$

and hence as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get the desired result, as the given $\epsilon$ satisfies $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{12}$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

For each integer $n=p^{k}$ or $2 p^{k}$, where $p$ is an odd prime and $k \geq 1$ is an integer, we let $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ be a given subset of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ such that its complement is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. Note that for these values of $n$, the group of coprime residue classes modulo $n$ is cyclic.

Let $n$ be one such natural number and we consider $\mathcal{S}_{n}$. Suppose $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{\ell}$ be the indices of the elements of $\mathcal{S}_{n}$. By lemma 2.4 , we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \mid r_{r_{i}}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(a)\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a \in \mathcal{S}_{n} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{n}=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\left|S_{n}\right|}\right\}$ and $m \geq 1$ be a given integer. Then consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{m}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(k)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{m}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} \chi(k)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\left(\sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \chi(k) B_{m}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\left(\frac{1}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} B_{m, \chi}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that for each integer $m \geq 1$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Also, by Lemma 2.2 (1), for any character $\chi$, we know that $L(1-m, \chi)=-\frac{B_{m, \chi}}{m}$. Thus, we need to estimate the following

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}\left(\frac{1}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}}(-m) L(1-m, \chi)\right) .
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 (2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{d}\left(\frac{m}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}}|L(1-m, \chi)|\right) \\
& =\frac{m}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right| n^{m-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{|\mu(d)|}{d}\left(\sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}}|L(1-m, \chi)|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C^{\prime}(m)}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right| n^{m-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{}\right.} \frac{1}{d}\left(\sum_{\chi_{i} r_{i} d=\chi_{0}} n^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{1}{d}\left(\sum_{\chi^{r_{i} d}=\chi_{0}} 1\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} \frac{1}{d}\left(r_{i} d\right)=\frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\sum_{d \left\lvert\, \frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right.} 1\right) \\
& =\frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sigma_{0}\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{r_{i}}\right) \leq \frac{C^{\prime}(m) \sqrt{n}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \ell \sigma_{0}(\phi(n)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{0}(n)$ stands for the number of divisors of $n$ and $C^{\prime}(m)$ is a positive constant depending only on $m$. By Lemma 2.3, for any given $\epsilon>0$, we have $\sigma_{0}(n)=O\left(n^{\epsilon}\right)$. Also, since $\phi(n) \leq n$, we get, $\sigma_{0}(\phi(n))=O\left(\phi(n)^{\epsilon}\right)=O\left(n^{\epsilon}\right)$.

Also, since $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{l}$ are the indices of elements of $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ and each $r_{i}$ divides $\phi(n)$, we have

$$
\ell \leq \sigma_{0}(\phi(n))=O\left(\phi(n)^{\epsilon}\right)=O\left(n^{\epsilon}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{g_{k}}{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C^{\prime}(m) n^{\frac{1}{2}+2 \epsilon}}{\left|\mathcal{S}_{n}\right|}
$$

which holds for any $\epsilon>0$. This proves the theorem.

## 5. Proof of Corollary 1.3

Let $H_{p}$ be the given subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ of cardinality $(p-1) / r$ and $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ is the complement of $H_{p}$. Then,

$$
\left|\mathcal{S}_{p}\right|=p-1-\frac{p-1}{r} \geq \frac{p-1}{2} \geq(p-1)^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}
$$

for all sufficiently large $p$ and for any $\epsilon$ with $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2 , the assertion follows.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

For any integer $n \geq 2$, we are given a subgroup $H_{n}^{\prime}$ of the group $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ and we take the elements of $H_{n}^{\prime}$ as integers $m$ such that $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $(m, n)=1$. Also, it is given that for each integer $n \geq 2$, the element $g_{n} \in(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. Then consider the subset $H_{n}=H_{n}^{\prime} / n$ of $[0,1]$.

We want to prove that the sets $g_{n} H_{n}$ are set equidistributed mod 1 . For each integer $n \geq 2$, we denote $\widehat{H_{n}}$ the group of all Dirichlet characters of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ which are trivial on the subgroup $H_{n}^{\prime}$. Therefore, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\widehat{H_{n}} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*} / H_{n}^{\prime}
$$

and so,

$$
\left|\widehat{H_{n}}\right|=\frac{\phi(n)}{\left|H_{n}^{\prime}\right|}=\frac{\phi(n)}{\left|g_{n} H_{n}\right|}
$$

Then, we see that

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\widehat{H_{n}}\right|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H_{n}}} \chi(a) \chi\left(g_{n}^{-1}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a \in g_{n} H_{n}^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By letting $H_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\left|H_{n}\right|}\right\}$, for each integer $m \geq 1$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\left|H_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{a_{k} g_{n}}{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{\left|\widehat{H_{n}}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{m}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H_{n}}} \chi(k) \chi\left(g_{n}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|\widehat{H_{n}}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{m}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H_{n}}} \chi\left(k g_{n}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|\widehat{H_{n}}\right|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H_{n}}} \chi\left(g_{n}^{-1}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{m}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \chi(k)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{m-1}\left|\widehat{H_{n}}\right|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{H_{n}}} \chi\left(g_{n}^{-1}\right) B_{m, \chi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that for each $m \geq 1$

$$
\frac{1}{\left|g_{n} H_{n}\right|} \sum_{k=1}^{\left|g_{n} H_{n}\right|} B_{m}\left(\frac{a_{k} g_{n}}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since $\left|g_{n} H_{n}\right|=\left|H_{n}\right|$, the rest of the proof goes along the proof of subgroup $H_{n}$ proved in [MuTh10]. Hence, we omit the proof here.
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