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#### Abstract

We shall prove that close to each point in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with coordinates of comparable size there is a point $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ with the property that no multiplicatively dependent vector $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ with coordinates which are algebraic numbers of height at most $H$ and degree at most $d$ is very close to $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $n$ be an integer with $n \geq 2$ and $R$ be a ring with identity. A vector $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1} \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ in $R^{n}$ is said to be multiplicatively dependent if all its coordinates are non-zero and there is a non-zero integer vector $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{k}}=v_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots v_{n}^{k_{n}}=1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S$ be a subset of $R$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{n}(S)$ the set of multiplicatively dependent vectors with coordinates in $S$.

In [PSSS18] Pappalardi, Sha, Shparlinski and Stewart give asymptotic formulae for the number of multiplicatively dependent vectors of algebraic numbers of fixed degree, or within a fixed number field, and bounded height. For any algebraic number $\alpha$, let

$$
f(x)=a_{d} x^{d}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0}
$$

be the minimal polynomial of $\alpha$, so with content 1 and positive leading coefficient. Suppose that $f$ factors as

$$
f(x)=a_{d}\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-\alpha_{d}\right)
$$

over the complex numbers. The height $H(\alpha)$ of $\alpha$ is given by

$$
H(\alpha)=\left(a_{d} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \max \left(1,\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\right)\right)^{1 / d}
$$

For positive integers $n, d$ and $H$ with $n \geq 2$ we denote by $M_{n, d}^{*}(H)$ the number of multiplicatively dependent $n$-tuples whose coordinates are algebraic numbers of degree $d$ and height at most $H$. Pappalardi, Sha, Shparlinski and Stewart proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n, d}^{*}(H)=C(n, d) H^{d(d+1)(n-1)}+O\left(H^{d(d+1)(n-1)-d / 2} \log H\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where
$$
C(n, d)=\left(n w_{0}(d)+2 n(n-1)\right) C_{1}(d)^{n-1}
$$
$w_{0}(d)$ is the number of roots of unity of degree $d$,
$$
C_{1}(d)=\frac{d 2^{d}}{\zeta(d+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor} \frac{(d+1)(2 j)^{d-2 j}}{(2 j+1)^{d-2 j+1}}
$$
and $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function.
Sha, Shparlinski and Stewart [SSS] studied the distribution of elements of $\mathcal{M}_{n}(S)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ when $S$ is a number field or the ring of algebraic integers of a number field. They showed that $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and if $K$ is a number field which is not contained in $\mathbb{R}$ then $\mathcal{M}_{n}(K)$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Further if $K$ is contained in $\mathbb{R}$ and of degree at least 2 over the rationals then $\mathcal{M}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ where $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ denotes the ring of algebraic integers of $K$. Furthermore if $K$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}$ but not in $\mathbb{R}$ and of degree at least 3 over the rationals then $\mathcal{M}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. In addition they showed that there are significant irregularities in the distribution of the points of $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and the distribution of the points of $\mathcal{M}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ when $K$ is an imaginary quadratic field. For $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ put
$$
\|\mathbf{z}\|=\sqrt{\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}}
$$
and for a real number $H$ greater than 1 put
$$
\mu_{n}\left(H ; \mathcal{O}_{K}\right)=\sup _{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \\\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq H}} \inf _{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K}\right)}\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v}\|
$$
when $K$ is an imaginary quadratic field. Sha, Shparlinski and Stewart proved there is a positive number $C_{0}(n)$, which is effectively computable in terms of $n$, such that for $n \geq 3$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}\left(H, \mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \gg H /(\log H)^{C_{0}(n)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and

$$
\mu_{2}\left(H, \mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \gg H
$$

Furthermore, for $n \geq 4$, there exists a positive number $C_{1}(K)$, which is effectively computable in terms of $K$, such that

$$
\mu_{n}\left(H ; \mathcal{O}_{K}\right) \ll H /(\log H)^{C_{1}(K)}
$$

The purpose of this note is to show, extending estimate (1.3), that the multiplicatively dependent vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with coordinates which are algebraic numbers of degree at most $d$ and height at most $H$ are distributed in a very irregular manner. We shall prove that throughout $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ there are many large polydiscs which have no elements of $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ whose coordinates are algebraic numbers of degree at most $d$ and height at most $H$. In particular we shall show that close to every point of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, with $n \geq 2$, with coordinates which are not too small there is a point which does not have any very close points from $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ whose coordinates are algebraic numbers of degree at most $d$ and height at most $H$. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $n$ and $d$ be positive integers with $n \geq 2$. Let $\varepsilon$ and $H$ be real numbers with $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $H \geq 3$. There exist positive numbers $c$, $c_{0}$ and $C$, which are effectively computable in terms of $n$, of $n$ and $\varepsilon$, and of $n, d$ and $\varepsilon$ respectively, such that if $H$ exceeds $C$ and $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{(\log H)^{\varepsilon}}<\left|z_{j}\right| \leq H \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$ then there exists $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{j}-t_{j}\right|<\left|z_{j}\right| /\left(\log \left|z_{j}\right|\right)^{c} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$ and such that there is no element $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ with coordinates which are algebraic numbers of height at most $H$ and degree at most $d$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{j}-t_{j}\right|<\left|t_{j}\right| /\left(\log \left|t_{j}\right|\right)^{c_{0}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$.
For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we shall require a result of Loxton and van der Poorten [LoxP83, PLox77] which states that if $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are algebraic numbers which are multiplicatively dependent then we can find a dependence relation where the exponents are not too large. In addition we need two results of Stewart [Ste18] which generalize earlier work of Tijdeman [Tij73, Tij74] on the sequence of integers generated by a finite set of primes. The results [Ste18, Tij73, Tij74] depend on the fundamental work of Baker on estimates for linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

We first state the result of Loxton and van der Poorten [LoxP83, PLox77].
Lemma 2.1. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be multiplicatively dependent non-zero algebraic numbers of degree at most $d$ which are not roots of unity. Then there is a positive number $c$, which depends only on $n$ and $d$, and there are rational integers $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}$, not all zero, such that

$$
\alpha_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{n}^{k_{n}}=1
$$

and

$$
\left|k_{j}\right| \leq c \prod_{m=1, m \neq j}^{n} \log H\left(\alpha_{j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, n
$$

Next we shall need Theorem 1 of [Ste18].
Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$ be multiplicatively independent algebraic numbers with $\left|\alpha_{i}\right|>1$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, r$. Put

$$
T=\left\{\alpha_{1}^{h_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{r}^{h_{r}} \mid h_{i} \geq 0 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, r\right\} .
$$

There exists a positive number $c$, which is effectively computable in terms of $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$, such that if $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ are in $T$ with $|t| \geq 3$ then

$$
\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|>|t| /(\log |t|)^{c} .
$$

We shall also require Theorem 3 of [Ste18].
Lemma 2.3. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ be multiplicatively independent algebraic numbers with $\left|\alpha_{i}\right|>1$ for $i=1,2,3$. Suppose that $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are positive real numbers and that $\alpha_{3} /\left|\alpha_{3}\right|$ is not a root of unity. Put

$$
T=\left\{\alpha_{1}^{h_{1}} \alpha_{2}^{h_{2}} \alpha_{3}^{h_{3}} \mid h_{i} \geq 0 \text { for } i=1,2,3\right\} .
$$

There exists a positive number $c_{1}$, which is effectively computable in terms of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$, such that for any complex number $z$ with $|z| \geq 3$ there exists an element $t$ of $T$ with

$$
|z-t| \leq|z| /(\log |z|)^{c_{1}} .
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots$, be the increasing sequence of primes congruent to 1 modulo 4 . Let

$$
r_{j}=a_{j}^{2}+b_{j}^{2}
$$

with $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ positive integers for $j=1,2, \ldots$ and put

$$
\pi_{j}=a_{j}+i b_{j}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots$ Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\pi_{j}\right|>1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\pi_{j} /\left|\pi_{j}\right|$ is not a root of unity since the only rational prime which ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is 2 . Let $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots$ be the increasing sequence of primes congruent to 3 modulo 4 . Let $H$ and $\varepsilon$ be real numbers with $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $H \geq 3$ and suppose that $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ satisfies (1.4). Assume that $C$ is sufficiently large that $(\log C)^{\varepsilon} \geq \log 3$ and that $H$ exceeds $C$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, for each integer $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$ there exists a positive number $c_{j}$, which is effectively computable in terms of $q_{2 j-1}$, $q_{2 j}$ and $\pi_{j}$, and non-negative integers $\lambda_{j, 1}, \lambda_{j, 2}, \lambda_{j, 3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{j}-q_{2 j-1}^{\lambda_{j, 1}} q_{2 j}^{\lambda_{j, 2}} \pi_{j}^{\lambda_{j, 3}}\right|<\frac{\left|z_{j}\right|}{\left(\log \left|z_{j}\right|\right)^{c_{j}}} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j}=q_{2 j-1}^{\lambda_{j, 1}} q_{2 j}^{\lambda_{j, 2}} \pi_{j}^{\lambda_{j, 3}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$ and

$$
\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) .
$$

Then, by (3.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{j}-t_{j}\right|<\left|z_{j}\right| /\left(\log \left|z_{j}\right|\right)^{c} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c=\min _{1 \leq j \leq n} c_{j} .
$$

Observe that $c$ is effectively computable in terms of $n$ and so (1.5) holds.
Let $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ be an element of $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ with coordinates algebraic numbers of height at most $H$ and degree at most $d$. We shall prove that $\mathbf{u}$ is not close to $\mathbf{t}$. Further we note that $\mathbf{t}$ is not in $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ be positive numbers which are effectively computable in terms of $n, d$ and $\varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.1 there exist integers $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}$, not all 0 , with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|k_{j}\right| \leq C_{1}(\log H)^{n-1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots u_{n}^{k_{n}}=1 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume, without loss of generality, that $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{i}$ are non-negative and that $k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_{n}$ are negative. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{i}^{k_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad t^{\prime}=t_{i+1}^{-k_{i+1}} \cdots t_{n}^{-k_{n}} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{2 n}, \pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n}$ are multiplicatively independent and that (3.7) holds. Further by (1.4) and (3.10), $|t| \geq 3$ provided that $H$ exceeds $C_{2}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, there is a positive number $c^{\prime}$, which is effectively computable in terms of $n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|>|t| /(\log |t|)^{c^{\prime}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plainly we may suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime} \geq 1 . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{j}-t_{j}\right|<\left|t_{j}\right| /\left(\log \left|t_{j}\right|\right)^{c^{\prime} 9(n-1) \varepsilon^{-1}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$ since if there is no $\mathbf{u}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ with coordinates algebraic numbers of height at most $H$ and degree at most $d$ for which (3.16) holds then the result follows. Define $\theta_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j}=t_{j}\left(1+\theta_{j}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Then, by (3.16),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\left(\log \left|t_{j}\right|\right)^{\prime} 9(n-1) \varepsilon^{-1}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Now

$$
\left|z_{j}-t_{j}\right|<\left|z_{j}\right| /\left(\log \left|z_{j}\right|\right)^{c}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$ and so for $H>C_{3}$, by (1.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t_{j}\right| \geq\left|z_{j}\right| / 2 \geq\left|z_{j}\right|^{1 / 2} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. By (1.4), (3.18) and (3.19)

$$
\left|\theta_{j}\right| \leq\left(\frac{2}{(\log H)^{\varepsilon}}\right)^{c^{\prime} 9(n-1) \varepsilon^{-1}}
$$

hence, for $H>C_{4}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{(\log H)^{c^{\prime} 8(n-1)}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$. By (3.17) and (3.12)

$$
1=t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{n}^{k_{n}} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\theta_{j}\right)^{k_{j}}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i+1}^{-k_{i+1}} \cdots t_{n}^{-k_{n}}=t_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{i}^{k_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\theta_{j}\right)^{k_{j}} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.11) and (3.20)

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{(\log H)^{8 c^{\prime}(n-1)}}\right)^{n C_{1}(\log H)^{n-1}} \leq\left|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\theta_{j}\right)^{k_{j}}\right| \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{(\log H)^{7 c^{\prime}(n-1)}}\right)^{n C_{1}(\log H)^{n-1}}
$$

and so, for $H>C_{5}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{1}{(\log H)^{5 c^{\prime}(n-1)}} \leq\left|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\theta_{j}\right)^{k_{j}}\right| \leq 1+\frac{1}{(\log H)^{5 c^{\prime}(n-1)}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.13), (3.21) and (3.22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{|t|}{(\log H)^{5 c^{\prime}(n-1)}} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that by (1.4), (3.11) and (3.10)

$$
|t| \leq(2 H)^{n C_{1}(\log H)^{n-1}}
$$

SO

$$
\log |t| \leq C_{6}(\log H)^{n} \leq C_{6}(\log H)^{2(n-1)}
$$

Thus, for $H>C_{7}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |t| \leq(\log H)^{(5 / 2)(n-1)} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.23) and (3.24) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| \leq|t| /(\log |t|)^{2 c^{\prime}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (3.14) and (3.25) we see that

$$
2 c^{\prime}<c^{\prime}
$$

and this is false. Our result now follows.
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